Beloslav Riečan Extension of measures and integrals by the help of a pseudometric

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra IV, Proceedings of the fourth Prague topological symposium, 1976, Part B: Contributed Papers. Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, Praha, 1977. pp. [390]--392.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700670

Terms of use:

© Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

EXTENSION OF MEASURES AND INTEGRALS BY THE HELP OF A PSEUDOMETRIC

B. RIEČAN

Bratislava

0. Introduction. There are two main concepts in the measure theory. The measure can be regarded as a set function defined on a set of subsets of a given set. On the other hand measure can be regarded as a functional defined on a set of real-valued functions. In both concepts an extension process is necessary.

In this communication we present a common generalization of both concepts. We study a real-valued function J defined on a sublattice A of a given lattice H with some properties. If we define a suitable pseudometric, then J becomes a uniformly continuous function, it can be extended to the closure A of A and this is the requested extension.

If H is a suitable lattice of sets, then the measure extension theorem is obtained. If H is a suitable lattice of real-valued functions, then the extension theorem for Daniell integrals (or Radon measures) is obtained.

Our extension process consists of the following three steps.

1. To a given sublattice A of H and a mapping $J_{a} : A \rightarrow R$ we construct a mapping $J : H \longrightarrow R$ extending J_{a} .

In this step H is assumed to satisfy the following conditions: H is boundedly \mathcal{G} -complete, \mathcal{G} -continuous lattice and to every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{H}$ there are $a_n \in A$ such that $x \in \bigvee a_n$. The initial mapping J_0 is increasing, J_0 is a valuation (i.e. $J_0(a) + J_0(b) = J_0(a \lor b) + J_0(a \land b)$) and J_0 is upper continuous (i.e. $x_n \in A$, $x \in A$, $x_n \land x \Rightarrow J_0(x_n) \Rightarrow J_0(x)$). Put $A^+ = \{b \in H ; \exists a_n \in A, a_n \land b \}, J^+ : A^+ \Rightarrow \overline{R}, J^+(b) =$ = lim $J_0(a_n)$. (Under previous assumptions this limit does not depend on the choice of a_n .) Finally $J^{*}(x) = \inf\{J^+(b); b \ge x, b \in A^+\}$.

J[#] has also some nice properties, e.g. J[#] is upper continuous on H.

2. In the second step we assume that there are given three binary operations \triangle , +, \vee : $H \times H \rightarrow H$ satisfying some conditions. In the set lattice case, $A \bigtriangleup B$ is the symmetric difference, $A \searrow B$ is the difference and A + B is the union of the sets A, B. In the function lattice case, $f \bigtriangleup g(x) = |f(x) - g(x)|$, $f \backslash g(x) = f(x) - \min(f(x))$, g(x), f + g(x) = f(x) + g(x).

We use the following properties of the algebraic structure: H has the least element O contained in A , A is closed under rarghtarrow , ightarrow , +; a△a=0, a△0=a, a△b=b△a, a+b=b+a, a△b ≤

 $\leq (a \bigtriangleup c) + (b \bigtriangleup c) , (a \lor b) \bigtriangleup (c \lor d) \leq (a \bigtriangleup c) + (b \bigtriangleup d), (a \land b) \bigtriangleup (a \land b) \bigtriangleup (c \land d) \leq (a \bigtriangleup c) + (b \bigtriangleup d), (a + b) \bigtriangleup (c + d) \leq (a \bigtriangleup c) + (b \bigtriangleup d) , (a \land b) \bigtriangleup (c \land d) \leq (a \bigtriangleup c) + (b \bigtriangleup d), a \leq (a \bigtriangleup b) + b \text{ for every } a, b, c, d \in H ; \text{ if } a \leq b , \text{ then } a + c \leq b + c , a \bigtriangleup b = b \land a , a = b \land (b \land a) ; \text{ if } a_n \land a , b_n \land b , c_n \land c , \text{ then } a_n + b_n \land a + b , a_n \land b \land a \land b , b \land c_n \land b \land c , \text{ then } a_n + b_n \land a + b , a_n \land b \land a \land b , b \land c_n \land b \land c , \text{ then } a_n + b_n \land a + b , a_n \land b \land a \land b , b \land c_n \land b \land c , \text{ then } a_n + b_n \land a + b , a_n \land b \land a \land b , b \land c_n \land b \land c , \text{ then } a_n + b_n \land a + b , a_n \land b \land a \land b , b \land c_n \land b \land c , d \land c , \text{ then } a_n + b_n \land a + b , a_n \land b \land a \land b , b \land c_n \land b \land c , d \land c , a \land c , a \land c , a \land c , a \land c) = c_n \land b \land c \land c , a \land c) = c_n \land b \land c \land c) = c_n \land c) \land c) = c_n \land c) = c_n \land c) = c_n \land c) \land c) \to c_n \land c) \to c_n \land c) = c_n \land c) \land c) \to c_n \land c) = c_n \land c) \land c) \to c_n \land c) \to c_n \land c) \land c) \land c) \to c_n \land c) \land c) \to c_n \land c) \land c) \land c) \to c_n \land c) \land c$

If we now put $d(x,y) = J^{*}(x \bigtriangleup y)$ and $H_{1} = \{x ; J^{*}(x) < \infty\}$, then (H_{1},d) is a pseudometric space containing A.

3. Finally we put $S = A^{-}$ (the closure of A with respect to d) and $J = J^{*} / A^{-}$.

4. Theorem. S is a sublattice of H closed under + and J is an extension of J_{o} satisfying the following conditions:

1. If $x \leq y$, $x, y \in S$, then $J(x) \leq J(y)$.

2. $J(x) + J(y) = J(x \lor y) + J(x \land y)$ for every x, y \in S.

3. If $x_n \in S$ (n=1,2,...), $x \in H$, $x_n \not = x$ ($x_n \lor x$) and $(J(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$

is bounded, then $x \in S$ and $J(x_n) \rightarrow J(x)$.

The classical measure extension theorem and Radon measure extension theorem follow immediately from Theorem 4. Of course, these two examples are not the only ones.

5. <u>Theorem</u>. Let G be an Abelian lattice ordered group, which is \mathfrak{S} -complete (i.e. every non-empty countable bounded subset of G has the supremum and the infimum). Let F be a subgroup of G closed under the lattice operations. Let there to every $x \in G$ exist $\mathbf{a}_n \in F$ (n = $\pm 1, 2, \ldots$) such that $x \notin \forall \mathbf{a}_n$. Finally let $I_0 : F \neq R$ be a linear positive operator such that $\mathbf{x}_n \not\prec \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_n \in F$ (n = 1,2,...), $x \in F$, implies $I_0(\mathbf{x}_n) \not\rightarrow I_0(\mathbf{x})$.

Then there are a subgroup T of G containing F and closed under the lattice operations and a linear positive operator I: $T \rightarrow R$ extending I_o and continuous in the following sense: If $x_n \nearrow x (x_n \gg x)$, $x_n \in T$ (n = 1,2,...), $x \in G$, and $(I(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, then $x \in T$ and $T(x) = \lim I(x_n)$.

Similar results using different constructions have been studied in [1] - [4]. A detailed elucidation of our results including proofs will appear in the journal Mathemetica Slovaca.

References

[1] E. M. Alfsen: Order preserving maps and integration processes. Math. Ann. 149 (1963), 419 - 461.

- [2] S. Brehmer: Verbandtheoretische Charakterisierung des Mass- und Integralbegriffs von Carathéodory. Potsdam. Forsch. 1974, 88 - 91.
- [3] B. Riečan: On a continuous extension of monotone functionals of some type. (Russian.) Mat.-fyz. časop. 15 (1965), 116 - 125.
- [4] M. Šabo: On an extension of finite functionals by the transfinite induction. Math. Slovaca 26 (1976), 193 - 200.