Heinrich von Weizsäcker A simple example concerning the global Markov Property of lattice random fields

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 8th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1980. pp. 194--198.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701207

Terms of use:

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

A simple example concerning the global Markov Property of lattice random fields

Heinrich v. Weizsäcker

1. Notation.

Let T be the vertex set of a countable graph (eg. \mathbb{Z}^d , $d \ge 1$). For $\wedge \subset$ T define the boundary $\Im \wedge = \{1 \in T : 1 \notin \land \text{ but } 1 \}$ is adjacent to some element of \land }. Let S be a Polish state space. On $\mathfrak{a} = S^T$ consider the σ -algebras $F_{\bigwedge} = \{\{\omega : \omega \mid_{\bigwedge} \in B\} : B \in \text{Borel } (S^{\land})\}$. A probability measure P on F_{\neg} determines a "lattice random field".

D'e finition: P has the <u>local Markov-Property</u> if the conditional distributions $P(\cdot, \cdot | F_A)$ with respect to P satisfy

$$P(A, \omega | F_{\lambda}) = P(A, \omega | F_{T \setminus A})$$

for all A $\in F_{\Lambda}$ and P-almost all $\omega \in \mathfrak{a}$, whenever Λ is a <u>sinite</u> subset of T. If this holds for all <u>insinite</u> $\Lambda \subset T$ as well, then P is said to have the <u>global Markov-Property</u>. (There are obvious more symmetric reformulations of this definition using conditional expectations.)

We study the question: When does the local Markov property imply the global one?

First let us remark that for $T = \mathbb{Z}$ the global Markov Property of P is equivalent to saying that P is the law of a (not necessarily homogeneous) Markov chain. Suppose that P describes a "random line", i.e. w(k) = a(w)k + b(w) P-a.e. for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and two real random variables a,b. Then it is easy to see that P in general does not describe a Markov chain, i.e. it does not have the global Markov property. But it has the local Markov Property, since every finite subset Λ of \mathbb{Z} has at least two boundary points k_0, k_1 with, say, $k_0 < k_1$; so the values a(w), b(w) are determined by $w|_{\partial\Lambda}$.

But in this example an easy explanation consists in the non-trivial tail behaviour: Given $\boldsymbol{\omega}(k_0)$ the additional information contained in $\boldsymbol{\omega}(k_1)$ is still present in the asymptotic behaviour as $k_1 \longrightarrow +\infty$. Considerations like this suggest the

<u>Problem</u>: Let F_{∞} be the tail σ -algebra \bigwedge_{Λ} finite $F_{T\setminus\Lambda}$. Does the local Markov-Property imply the global one, if P/F_ is trivial?

The answer is positive if T = Z and S is countable. ([2], p. 447). The global Markov property has been also estab-

lished in a number of higher dimensional cases, even for continuous parameter set (an appropriate definition. See [1] and the references there). I am inclined to say that in all these cases the main idea is to verify the hypothesis of the following

<u>Proposition:</u> The local Markov property implies the global one, if for each \land P-almost all conditional probability measures P(•, $\omega \mid F_{3\land}$) are trivial on $F_{\infty} \cap F_{\land}$.

One way to prove and to use this proposition is to apply the characterization of triviality on F_{∞} by an extreme point property ([3]).

3. The example.

We construct a field with the local but without the global Markov property which is trivial on F_{∞} . It can be interpreted both as an example for $T = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $S = \{0,1\}$ and (considering the column process) for $T = \mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let (η_k) be a sequence of independent Bernoulli variables. For n > 0 define $S_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k \mod 2$ and $S_{-n} := \sum_{k=0}^n \eta_{-k} \mod 2$. For $(m,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ define $\xi(m,1)$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(m,1) = \begin{cases} \eta_1 & \text{if } 1 > m \ge 0 \text{ or } 1 < m \le 0 \\ \text{or } 1 = 0, \ m \in \{-1,1\} \text{ or } 1 = m = \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(m,1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 > 1 \text{ and } m \in \{-1,1,1+1\} \\ \text{or } 1 < 1 \text{ and } m \in \{-1,-1,-1,1\} \end{cases}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(m,1) = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } 1 = 1, \ m \in \{-1,2\} \text{ or } m = 2, \ 1 = 0 \\ S_{-1} & \text{if } 1 = 1, \ m \in \{-2,1\} \text{ or } m = -2, \ 1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{independent of all other variables}$$

$$\text{if } (m,1) \text{ is not of the above form. (eg. if } m = 1 = 0)$$

Thus we get the following picture (a \times indicating that the corresponding $\boldsymbol{\xi}(m,l)$ is independent of all others)

		:	•	:					
		×			n 3			s ₃	×
		×	^S 2	η ₂	¶2	^S 2	s ₂	x	
• .		×	. <mark>.</mark> 1	1 1	<u>,</u> η ₁	. ^S 1	× .		
	×	S-1-	n o	X	1 0	^s 1	×		
	×	^S -1	η ₋₁	ŋ _1	^S -1	×			
×	^S -2	^S -2	1 -2	η_{-2}	S_2	×			
^S -3	^S -3	η_{-3}	η_3	η_3	^S -3	×			

It is not difficult to verify that the law of this process has all required properties. (I do not claim that this is a very natural example ...).

Acknowledgement: I am very grateful for discussions on this subject with H. Föllmer and M. Scheutzow.From the former I learned about this question and the latter

197

Y N

pointed out a mistake in an earlier version of the example.

References:

- [1] Albeverio, S. and Høegh-Krohn, R.: The global Markov Property for euklidean and lattice fields. Physics Letters 84B (1979) n^o. 1.
- [2] Griffeath, D.: Introduction to random fields. (= Chapter 12 in Kemeny, Snell, Knapp: Denumerable Markov Chains, 2nd. ed.; Berlin: Springer 1976).
- [3] Preston, C.: Random fields. Lecture Notes in Math. 534, Berlin: Springer 1976.