A. Hajnal; Bernd Voigt; Jenö Lehel; Martin Gavalec; András Recski; Fred Galvin Problems

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 9th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1981. pp. 195--202.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701253

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

NINTH WINTER SCHOOL ON ABSTRACT ANALYSIS (1981)

Problems
A. Hajnal

a/R. Faudree stated the following problem. Assume G is a countable such that $G \rightarrow (K_{\omega,\omega})_2^2$. Does then $K_{\omega} \subset G$ hold?

I realized that this follows from an old result of Erdős, Pósa and myself, which says that $G \rightarrowtail (K_{\omega,\omega})_2^2$ holds for every countable graph [1]. Then Faudree asked what can be said about countable graphs G for which $G \nrightarrow (P_{\infty})_2^2$ holds. Here P_{∞} denotes a /one-way/ infinite path. During this conference Rödl gave examples of countable graphs $G_{\mathbf{r}} \quad \mathbf{r} \ge 2$ such that $G_{\mathbf{r}} \rightarrow (P_{\infty})_{\mathbf{r}}^2$ and $K_{\mathbf{r}+1}$, $F_{\mathbf{r}+1} \not = G_{\mathbf{r}}$. On the other hand, I can prove that if $G \rightarrow (P_{\infty})_{2\mathbf{r}}^2$ holds for a countable G, then $K_{\mathbf{r},\omega} \subset G$ for $\mathbf{r} \ge 2$. The problem remains open if there is a countable G such that $C_4 \not \in G$ and $G \rightarrow (C_4)_2^2$. Note that by an example of Rödl there is such a graph of cardinality $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{H}}_1$.

[1] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, L. Pósa: Strong embeddings of graphs into colored graphs. Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 10. Infinite and finite sets. Keszthely /Hungary/, 1973, 585-595.

b/ Modifying a question of F. Galvin I asked the following problem. For what cardinals x does there exists a graph $G = \langle x, E \rangle$ such that $G \to (\mathcal{H}_1)_2^2$ or $G \to (\mathcal{H}_0)_\omega^2$ but this fails to be true for any subgraph G of cardinality less than \mathcal{X} of G? I can prove, that if $\mathcal{X} > \omega$ is a regular cardinal such that $\forall \lambda < \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}_0 < \mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{X}_0 holds, then there is a

graph $G = \langle x, E \rangle$ such that $G \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_1)_{\mathcal{H}_C}^2$ but $G \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_1)_2^2$ and $G \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_0)$ hold for all $G \subset G$ with $|G'| < \mathcal{R}$. Can such an example exist, say assuming G.C.H. for $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_{H^{-1}}^2$?

Problem Which partition properties does the class of finite groups have?

In particular prove the following:

For every finite group G there exists a finite group H satisfying H \rightarrow (G) $_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, i.e. for every 2-coloring of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -subgroups of H there exists a G-subgroup with all its \mathbb{Z}_2 -subgroups colored the same.

Problem Prove or disprove:

For every 2-coloring of the positive integers there exist positive integers a,d such that the elements of the arithmetic progression a,a+d,...,a+d² all are colored the same.

Bernd Voigt

We use the notations of the paper J. Lehel: On hypergraph coverings, appeared in this volume.

Conjecture. For any $1 < r < p \le n$ every r-uniform hypergraph of order n has a K_p -partition of size not greater than T(n,p,r).

Remark. The conjecture is true for r=2, see in B. Bollobés: On complete graphs of different orders. Math.Proc.Philos.Soc. 79(1976), 19-24.

Jeno Lehel

Let L, or K, be the ideal of all subsets of the unite real interval I, of Lebesgue measure Q, or of the first Baire category, respectively. In the field $\mathcal B$ of all Borel sets in $I \times I$ we define the product $L \times K$ by the standard definition: for $A \in \mathcal B$, $A \not\in L \times K =$

 $\equiv \left\{ x_0 \in I ; \left\{ x_1 \in I ; (x_0, x_1) \in A \right\} \notin K \right\} \in L .$ The product $K \times L$ is defined analogously.

Question: are boolean factor-algebras $\mathcal{B}/L^{\times}K$, $\mathcal{B}/K^{\times}L$ isomorph?

Martin Gavalec

Definition 1. If \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 are matroids on the same set S then their sum is a matroid $\mathcal{M}_4 \vee \mathcal{M}_2$ on S so that $x\subseteq s$ is independent in $\mathcal{M}_1\vee\mathcal{M}_2$ if and only if $x=x_1\cup x_2$ with X, independent in \mathcal{M}_{i} for i=1 and i=2. Conjecture 1. If \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 are graphic but $\mathcal{M}_1 \vee \mathcal{M}_2$ is not then $\mathcal{L}_{1} \vee \mathcal{L}_{2}$ is not binary. Definition 2. Weak map is the name of the following partial order among matroids on the same set: $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$ if and only if every independent subset of \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} is also independent in Ms. Conjecture 2. Suppose the equation $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{B}$ is solvable for a given pair ${\mathcal A}$, ${\mathcal B}$, and arrange every solution by the weak map. Then there exists a unique maximal among them. Remarks. The algorithm of [1] does not help to prove the first conjecture. If $\mathcal B$ is graphic, the second conjective is true [2] .

References

- [1] A. Recski: An algorithm to determine whether the sum of some graphic matroids is graphic, Coll-Math.Soc.J.
 Bolyai (Algebraic methods in graph theory, Szeged,
 1978). North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam 1981.
- [2] A. Recski: On the sum of matroids III, Discrete Mathematics, in press.

- 1. If G is a graph such that $G + (K_{\omega,\omega})_2^2$, does $G + (K_{\omega,\omega})_n^2$ for all $n < \omega$? for n=3?
- 2. If some metric space of cardinality x is strongly of measure zero, does it follow that some subset of the real line of / cardinality x is strongly of measure zero? Timothy J.Carlson has shown that this is true if $x < 2^{N_0}$ or $x = N_1$.

 What happens if $x = 2^{N_0} > N_1$?
- 3. For a cardinal x, let P(x) be the statement: every subset of $x \times x \times x$ belongs to the σ -algebra generated by the sets of the form

$$\{(x_1,x_2,x_3)\ :\ (x_i,x_j)\in s\}\ .$$

where $1 \le i < j \le 3$ and $5 \subseteq x \times x$. It is known that $x \le \min\{X_2, 2^{X_0}\} \Rightarrow P(x) \Rightarrow x \le 2^{2^{X_0}}.$

Assume GCH; is $P(\chi_2)$ true or false?

4. If G=(V,E) is a graph, φ(G) is the least cardinal n such that, for any family (C_x:x∈V) of n-element sets, there are elements a_x∈C_x (x∈V) such that a_x ≠ a_y whenever {x,y}∈E. Note that chr(G) ≤ φ(G)≤col(G), where chr(G) is the ordinary chromatic number and col(G) is the Erd8s-Hajnal coloring number [P.Erd8s and A.Hajnal, On chromatic number of graphs and set-systems,

Acta Math. Acad.Sci.Hungar. 17(1966), 61-99.3 For example, if $G=K_{3,3}$, then chr(G)=2, c(G)=3, Col(G)=4. Determine $n=\max\{\varphi(G):G \text{ is planar}\}$. Clearly $4\leq n\leq 6$.

Fred Galvin