

V. M. Futorny

The graded representations of an affine Lie algebra

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1991. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 26. pp. [155]--161.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701489>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE GRADED REPRESENTATIONS OF AN AFFINE LIE
ALGEBRAS

V.M.Futorny

Introduction. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be a generalized Cartan matrix which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $a_{ii} = 2, i = \overline{1, n}$.
- 2) $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{ij} \leq 0, i \neq j$.
- 3) A is symmetrizable i.e. there exists a diagonal matrix $D = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ with non-zero entries such that DA is symmetric.

- 4) All proper principal minors of DA are positive and $\det A = 0$.

The complex affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is generated by $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n, h_1, \dots, h_n$ with following defining relations [3]: $[h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j$, $[h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j$, $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_j$, $[h_i, h_j] = 0$,

$$(\text{ad } e_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(e_j) = (\text{ad } f_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(f_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Denote by H a Cartan subalgebra generated by h_1, \dots, h_n .

Let Δ denotes the set of roots, $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be some base of Δ , $\mathcal{Q} = \{ \sum_{k=1}^n k_i \alpha_i \mid k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ the lattice of roots, $\Delta^+(\Pi)$ the set of positive roots with respect to Π .

We have a root space decomposition of \mathfrak{g} with respect to H : $\mathfrak{g} = H \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{ g \in \mathfrak{g} \mid$

$$[h, g] = \alpha(h)g \quad \text{for all } h \in H \}.$$

Let $\Delta^{im} = \{ k\delta \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \}$ the set of imaginary roots, where δ is a minimal positive imaginary root.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a \mathcal{Q} -graded algebra: $U(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}} U_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{g})$. \mathfrak{g} -module V is called

$$\mathcal{Q}\text{-graded if } V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}} V_{\alpha}, \quad U_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{g})V_{\beta} \subset V_{\alpha+\beta}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let K denotes the category of all \mathcal{Q} -graded \mathfrak{g} -modules. It is clear that Verma modules and generalized Verma modules [4], for example, belong to K . The objects of K constructing by parabolic induction were studied in [5]. In this paper we construct the new families of irreducible objects of K which aren't quotients of generalized Verma modules but have many analogous properties. The first examples of such modules were built in [1].

I. The graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules.

A subset $F \subset \Delta$ is called closed if $\alpha + \beta \in F$ for all roots $\alpha, \beta \in F$ such that $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$. Denote by $\langle F \rangle$ the closed subset generated by F . A closed subset $P \subset \Delta$ such that $P \cup -P = \Delta$ we called a parabolic subset. The classification of all parabolic subsets in affine case is contained in [2].

Let $\phi \neq F \subset \Delta$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\phi} = \langle \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \phi \rangle$, $H_{\phi} = \{h \in H \mid \alpha(h) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \phi\} / Z$, where $Z \subset H$ is a center of \mathfrak{g} and $H_{\phi} = H$.

We say that \mathfrak{g} -module V is graded irreducible if V hasn't \mathcal{Q} -graded \mathfrak{g} -submodules.

Let $\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}$, V is graded \mathfrak{g} -module, $V^{\alpha} = \{v \in V \mid Xv = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \alpha\}$, For any parabolic subset P we denote by $K(P)$ the subcategory of K of graded \mathfrak{g} -modules V such that $V^{\mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)}} \neq 0$.

Definition. Any element $v \in V^{\mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)}}$ is called P -primitive.

If $P = \Delta^+(\pi)$ then we have the well-known definition of primitive element.

Let P be a parabolic subset, $P \neq \Delta$,

$$I = U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)} \cap U_0(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Proposition I.1. (I) $P \setminus (-P)$ is a closed subset in Δ .

(2) I is the ideal in $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$.

(3) $U_0(\mathfrak{g})/I \cong U_0(\mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)}) \otimes U(H_{P \setminus (-P)})$.

Proposition I.2. (I) If W is an irreducible $U_0(\mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)}) \otimes U(H_{P \setminus (-P)})$ -module then there exist the unique

graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module $V \in K(P)$ such that $V_0 \cong W$.

(2) If $V \in K(P)$ is the graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module, $\alpha \in Q$,

$0 \neq v \in V_\alpha \cap V_{\mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)}}$ then V_α is the irreducible $U_0(\mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)}) \otimes U(H_{P \setminus (-P)})$ -module.

Proof. Let W be the irreducible $U_0(\mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)}) \otimes U(H_{P \setminus (-P)})$ -

module. Then we may consider W as $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ -module defining $I\omega = 0$ for all $\omega \in W$. Let $M(P, W) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_0(\mathfrak{g})} W$. Then $M(P, W)_0 \cong W$ as $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ -

modules. The module $M(P, W)$ has unique maximal graded submodule and thus we have the graded irreducible quotient $L(P, W)$ such that $L(P, W)_0 \cong W$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}_{P \setminus (-P)} L(P, W)_0 = 0$ and $L(P, W) \in K(P)$.

If L is another irreducible module such that $L_0 \cong W$ then there exists epimorphism $\rho: M(P, W) \rightarrow L$.

Thus $L \cong L(P, W)$. It proves (I). The point (2) follows from proposition I.1.

The universal module $M(P, W)$ is very "big" with complicated structure. More convenient to have the "smaller" universal module. Now we shall construct such \mathfrak{g} -modules generated by P -primitive elements. The first construction is analogous to the construction of generalized Verma modules.

$$\text{Let } Q_{P \setminus (-P)} = \{ \sum K_i \alpha_i \mid \alpha_i \in P \setminus (-P), K_i \in \mathbb{Z} \},$$

V be an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_{\rho\Omega-\rho}$ -graded $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\Omega-\rho})$ -module, $\lambda \in H_{\rho\Omega-\rho}^*$, $\Lambda_1 = U(\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\Omega-\rho}) + U(H_{\rho\Omega-\rho}) + U(\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\setminus(-\rho)})$, $M_1^\lambda(\rho, V) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Lambda_1} V$,

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\setminus(-\rho)} v = 0$, $h v = \lambda(h) v$ for all $v \in V$,

$h \in H_{\rho\Omega-\rho}$.

It's easy to prove.

Proposition I.3. (I) $M_1^\lambda(\rho, V)$ is \mathcal{A} -graded \mathfrak{g} -module.

(2) The element $1 \otimes v$ is ρ -primitive and $M_1^\lambda(\rho, V)$ is generated by one for any $v \in V$.

(3) $M_1^\lambda(\rho, V)$ has unique maximal graded submodule \mathcal{M}_1 and $L_1^\lambda(\rho, V) = M_1^\lambda(\rho, V) / \mathcal{M}_1$ is graded irreducible quotient.

(4) $L_1^\lambda(\rho, V) \in K(\rho)$ and $L_1^\lambda(\rho, V)^{\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\setminus(-\rho)}} \simeq V$.

Now consider another construction of \mathfrak{g} -module generated by ρ -primitive element.

Let $\lambda \in H_{\rho\Omega-\rho}^*$, $\Lambda_2 = U_0(\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\Omega-\rho}) + U(H_{\rho\Omega-\rho}) + U(\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\setminus(-\rho)})$, $M_2^\lambda(\rho, W) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Lambda_2} W$,

where W is the irreducible Λ_2 -module, such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\setminus(-\rho)} \omega = 0$, $h \omega = \lambda(h) \omega$ for all $h \in H_{\rho\Omega-\rho}$,

$\omega \in W$.

Proposition I.4. (I) $M_2^\lambda(\rho, W)$ is \mathcal{A} -graded \mathfrak{g} -module.

(2) The element $1 \otimes \omega$ is ρ -primitive and $M_2^\lambda(\rho, W)$ is generated by one for any $\omega \in W$.

(3) $M_2^\lambda(\rho, W)$ has unique maximal graded submodule \mathcal{M}_2 , $L_2^\lambda(\rho, W) = M_2^\lambda(\rho, W) / \mathcal{M}_2$ is unique graded irreducible quotient.

(4) $M_2^\lambda(\rho, W)_0 \simeq W$ and $M_2^\lambda(\rho, W)_0 \subset M_2^\lambda(\rho, W)^{\mathfrak{g}_{\rho\setminus(-\rho)}}$.

The next result shows the universal nature of modules $M(\rho, W)$, $M_1^\lambda(\rho, V)$, $M_2^\lambda(\rho, W)$.

Theorem I.5. Let $\lambda \in H_{\rho\Omega-\rho}^*$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho\Omega-\rho}$.

- (I) If V be the graded irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p}})$ -module and $V_\alpha \neq 0$ then $L(\mathfrak{p}, V_\alpha) \cong L_1^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, V) \cong L_2^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, V_\alpha)$,
 $h v = \lambda(h) v, v \in V_\alpha, h \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p}}$.
- (2) If U is graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module and U_α contains a \mathfrak{p} -primitive element u , such that $h u = \lambda(h) u$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p}}$ then $U \cong L_2^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, U_\alpha)$.

The proof of the theorem follows from propositions I.2-I.4.

- Remarks. (I) There exist epimorphisms $\Psi_1: M(\mathfrak{p}, V_\alpha) \rightarrow M_1^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, V)$, $\Psi_2: M(\mathfrak{p}, V_\alpha) \rightarrow M_2^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, V_\alpha)$,
 $\Psi_3: M_2^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, V_\alpha) \rightarrow M_1^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, V)$.
- (2) If $\delta \notin \mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p}$ then $L(\mathfrak{p}, V_\alpha), L_1^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, V), L_2^\lambda(\mathfrak{p}, V_\alpha)$ are irreducible modules and in "general position" they aren't quotients of Verma modules or generalized Verma modules if $\mathfrak{p} \neq \Delta^+(\pi) \cup \langle -\pi' \rangle$ for any $\pi, \pi' \subset \pi$.

Like that we have the constructions of irreducible graded \mathfrak{g} -modules with \mathfrak{p} -primitive elements. The next theorem gives the characterization of modules without \mathfrak{p} -primitive elements in particular case $\mathfrak{g} = A_1^{(4)}$.

$A_1^{(4)}$ Theorem I.6. Let V is the irreducible graded $A_1^{(4)}$ -module without \mathfrak{p} -primitive elements for any parabolic subset \mathfrak{p} . Then $V_\alpha \neq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Hypothesis. The theorem I.6 is correct for all affine Lie algebras.

2. The structure of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p}}$. Let

\mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subset and $\mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.I. (I) If $\delta \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus (-\mathfrak{p})$ then $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finite dimensional semisimple Lie sub-

algebra in \mathfrak{g} .

(2) If $\delta \in \mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p}$ then $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{p} \cap -\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$,

where \mathfrak{g}_1 is an affine Lie algebra, $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}_1 \leq \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\Delta^{\text{im}}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\Delta^{\text{im}}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathfrak{g}_{\Delta^{\text{im}}}$.
It's easy to prove

Lemma 2.2. Let $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, $\alpha \in \pi$,

$X_\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_\varphi$. Then
(I) $\mathfrak{g}_\delta = \{ [X_{\delta-\alpha_i}, X_{\alpha_i}] \mid i = \overline{1, n} \}$.

(2) If $[X_{\delta-\alpha}, X_\alpha] \neq 0$ then $[[X_{\delta-\alpha}, X_\alpha], X_\alpha] \neq 0$.

The proof of the theorem 2.1 is based on computations for every affine Lie algebra and used the lemma 2.2.

The next table contains all kinds of the subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_1 for different Γ .

\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{g}_1
$A_{n-1}^{(1)}$	$A_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$
$B_{n-1}^{(1)}$	$A_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, $C_2^{(1)}$, $B_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $4 \leq k \leq n-1$
$C_{n-1}^{(1)}$	$A_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, $C_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $3 \leq k \leq n-1$
$\mathcal{D}_{n-1}^{(1)}$	$A_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, $\mathcal{D}_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $5 \leq k \leq n-1$
$G_2^{(1)}, \mathcal{D}_4^{(3)}$	$A_1^{(1)}$
$F_4^{(1)}$	$A_1^{(1)}$, $A_2^{(1)}$, $C_2^{(1)}$, $C_3^{(1)}$, $B_3^{(1)}$
$E_l^{(1)}, l=6,7,8$	$A_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $2 \leq k \leq l$, $\mathcal{D}_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $5 \leq k \leq l$, $E_k^{(1)}$, $6 \leq k \leq l-1$
$A_{2n-2}^{(2)}$	$A_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, $A_{2k-2}^{(2)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$
$\mathcal{D}_n^{(2)}$	$A_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, $\mathcal{D}_k^{(2)}$, $3 \leq k \leq n-1$
$A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$	$A_{k-1}^{(1)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, $A_{2k-3}^{(2)}$, $4 \leq k \leq n-1$, $\mathcal{D}_3^{(2)}$
$E_6^{(2)}$	$A_1^{(1)}$, $A_2^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{D}_3^{(2)}$, $\mathcal{D}_4^{(2)}$, $A_5^{(2)}$

REFERENCES

1. FUTORNY V.M. "On two constructions of representations of affine Lie algebras", The methods of func. analysis in the problems of math. physics, Kiev, Inst. of math., /1987/, 86-88.
2. FUTORNY V.M. "The parabolic subsets of root system and corresponding representations of affine Lie algebras", Root systems, representations and geometries, Preprint, Kiev, Inst. of math., /8/ /1990/, 32-43.
3. KAC V.G. "Simple irreducible graded Lie algebras of finite growth", Math. USSR Isv., 2 /1968/, 1271-1311.
4. LEPOWSKY J. "A generalization of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution", J. Algebra, /2/ 49 /1977/, 496-511.
5. ROCHA-CARIDI A. "Resolutions of irreducible highest weight modules over infinite dimensional graded Lie algebras", Lect. Notes math., 933 /1981/, 176-191.

KIEV UNIVERSITY, KIEV
252017, USSR